Summary Takeaways
General

• All four country teams identified well the challenges each is facing

• Good work has been done to illustrate and study the water problems

• The selection of pilot areas is on track for all with varying degrees of advancement:
  • Palestine and Tunisia have zoomed in on one site
  • Jordan has an entire region – may be too large to work with and might need to be broken up
  • Egypt has identified four regions
• Remember water allocation is about who is able to use water resources, how, when and where it is **NOT** IWRM.

• All four country teams:
  • Reflected fairly well the institutional landscape. Leadership was not clearly defined by most especially in the targeted areas.
  • Role of the private sector was addressed by the Palestine and Jordan teams but not by the others. But this was not related to allocation.
  • Water rights and tenure were not mentioned explicitly – Palestine did address this
  • Integration of allocation into operations was not highlighted
  • Overlooked the issue about stakeholder coordination

• Two new tools have now been shared and could be useful in advancing the implementation of the guidelines
  • Role playing game helped understand the different positions of various stakeholders – helps in coordination
  • Water accounting with other data tools will help get a clearer picture of water the balance in the pilot areas